

# UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/508,229 04/20/00 **BRANDENBERGER** R 08846-076001 **EXAMINER** PM82/0425 ANDREW N PARFOMAK SINGH.S FISH & RICHARDSON ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA **SUITE 2800** 3673 NEW YORK NY 10111 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

04/25/01

Application No. 09/508,229

Applicani(s)

Brandenberger et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Sunil Singh

Art Unit 3673



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed tion. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status Clades	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	i i
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This acti	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 9	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	
6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-15	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	
3. \(\overline{\times}\) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ul>	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/508,229

Art Unit: 3673

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The preliminary amendment filed 4/20/00 has been entered.

### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 and 10-15 are, drawn to a cladding construction for a partially overhanging substrate and method of providing the same.

Group II, claim(s) 9 is, drawn to a composite waterproofing system for application to surfaces.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I is drawn to a cladding construction and method of providing the same for a partially overhanging substrate, wherein the construction comprises in sequence, a drainage means, a waterproofing membrane sprayed onto the drainage means and a layer of concrete. Group II is drawn to a composite waterproofing system for application to surfaces, wherein the system comprises drainage means and a sprayed waterproof

Application/Control Number: 09/508,229

Art Unit: 3673

membrane, Group II clearly does not relate to cladding construction for a partially overhanging substrate wherein the elements of the cladding construction are placed in a sequence as set forth in the claims of Group I.

- During a telephone conversation with Andrew N. Parfomak on 4/20/01 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8 and 10-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 9 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

# Specification

5. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

# Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 09/508,229

Art Unit: 3673

Title of the Invention. (a)

- Cross-References to Related Applications. (b)
- Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development. 0
- Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96). (d)
- Background of the Invention. (e)
  - Field of the Invention. 1.
  - Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 2. CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- Brief Summary of the Invention. (f)
- Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s). (g)
- Detailed Description of the Invention. (h)
- Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet). **(I)**
- Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet). (i)
- Drawings. (k)
- Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825). **(1)**
- The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative 6. of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- The following title is suggested: WATERPROOF CLADDING CONSTRUCTION AND 7. METHOD OF PROVIDING THE SAME
- The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the word "means" should be deleted 8. from the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. 9.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 09/508,229 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

11. Claims 3, 8 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 3 lines 2-3, "to that side ... layer" is confusing because it is not clear what "to that side" actually means.

Claim 8 does not positively recite any method steps; it appears that a step of --applying to the substrate the following elements in sequence-- should be recited.

Claim 10 does not positively recite any method steps; it appears that a step of --applying an initial layer of concrete-- should be recited.

Claim 11 lines 2-4, "to that side ... layer" is confusing because it is not clear what "to that side" actually means.

Claim 15 line 2, "the sprayed concrete" lacks clear antecedent basis

Application/Control Number: 09/508,229 Page 6

Art Unit: 3673

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 1-8 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wipo document (98/24738) in view of Bernold (US 3858400).

Wipo document '738 discloses a cladding on a partially overhanging substrate which comprises in sequence starting from the substrate, an initial layer of concrete, a waterproofing membrane which has been applied thereto by spraying; and a layer of concrete. The waterproofing membrane is a plastics material with anchoring means for subsequent layers (see page 3). The waterproofing membrane is a layer of coalesced particles of thermoplastic polymer (see pages 2-3). The layer of concrete is applied by spraying (see page 4).

Wipo document '738 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Wipo document '738 is silent about including drainage means, wherein the drainage means is a plastics mesh. Bernold teaches a cladding construction that includes a drainage means, wherein the drainage means is a plastics mesh (5,18). It would have been considered obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 09/508,229 Page 7

Art Unit: 3673

ordinary skill in the art to modify Wipo '738 to include the drainage means taught by Bernold in order prevent water from running into the tunnel or like members.

With respect to claims 8 and 10-15, the recited method steps are considered to be obvious in view of the combination as described above.

With regards to claim 7, the Wipo document '738 (once modified) is silent about the sprayed concrete comprising reinforcing fibres. However, Wipo document '738 teaches sprayed concrete comprising reinforcing fibres is well known (see page 1 lines 5-15). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the (once modified) Wipo'738 to include reinforcing fibres in the sprayed concrete as is taught by the prior art in order to increase the bearing strength of the cladding construction.

#### Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents (2602299, 3545213, 3698066, 3858400, 4129991, 6193439) all teach structure for lining underground spaces.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell, can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

SS

April 20, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600